Outlier detection in multicenter trials: a simulation study

Natalie Dennis Emmanuel Curis Lucie Biard Sylvie Chevret

October 6th, 2017

Introduction

- In a multicenter trial, in which a clinical trial is conducted at more than one research or medical centers, there exists a natural heterogeneity among observations

  $\Rightarrow$ This is due to the differences between the centers at which the clinical trial takes place

- How do we detect if there is an outlying center in a multicenter trial?

Objectives

- Determine if it is possible to detect an outlying center in a linear mixed-effects model
- If so, analyze the behavior of each method, including the Type I error and power

Linear Mixed-Effects Model

y_{ij} = response of i-th member of center j, $i = 1, ..., n_j$, $j = 1, ..., m$
m = number of centers
n_j = size of center j
x_{ij} = covariate vector of i-th member of center j for fixed effects
β = fixed effects parameter
u_{ij} = covariate vector of i-th member of center j for random effects
γ_j = random effect parameter

$$y_{ij} = x_{ij}^t \beta + u_{ij}^t \gamma_j + \epsilon_{ij}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n_j;\ j = 1, ..., m$$

Source: Czado, Claudia. "Linear Mixed Models." *Technical University of Munich.*

https://www.statistics.ma.tum.de/en/people/professors/claudia-czado/research/.

Linear Mixed Model with Random Effect Outlier



Outlier Detection Methods

We will consider the following outlier detection methods to determine if there is a random-effect outlier:

- Boxplot extremities
  - Values below Q1$-1.5\times$ IQR or above Q3 $+1.5\times$IQR
- Dixon's Q Test [1]
  - $Q = \frac{x_{(2)}-x_{(1)}}{x_{(n)}-x_{(1)}}$ and $Q = \frac{x_{(n)}-x_{(n-1)}}{x_{(n)}-x_{(1)}}$
- Grubbs' Test [2]
  - $G = \frac{\max|x_i-\bar{x}|}{s}$
- Likelihood Ratio Test, introduced by Langford & Lewis (1998) [4]

Method by Langford & Lewis

Consider the following linear mixed model with a random intercept and fixed slope:

$$y_{ij} = x_{ij}^t \beta + \gamma_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Langford & Lewis propose using the likelihood ratio test to compare this model to the following model:

$$y_{ij} = x_{ij}^t \beta + (1 - h)\gamma_j + h\alpha + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where $h = 1$ for the center we wish to test as an outlier and $h = 0$ otherwise.

Simulation Method

2200 simulations of the following models with 10, 30, 50 centers and 10, 20, 50 individuals per center (9 combinations):

1. One random intercept with a continuous covariate
2. One random intercept with a discrete covariate
3. One random slope with a continuous covariate
4. One random slope with a discrete covariate

Incorporating an outlying center

For each combination, we studied the following cases:

1. No outlying random effect
   - $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
2. One center with an outlying fixed effect
   - $\gamma_1 = 3$, $\gamma_1 = 4$ and $\gamma_1 = 6$
3. One center with an outlying random effect
   - $\gamma_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(3, 1)$, $\gamma_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(4, 1)$, and $\gamma_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(6, 1)$

Bias of the fixed effect, $\hat{\beta}$



Figure: Bias of $\hat{\beta}$ for (1) no outlying random effect, (2) $\gamma_1 = 3$, and (3) $\gamma_1 = 6$

Type I errors



Figure: Type I errors for (1) Boxplot extremities, (2) Dixon's Q test, (3) Grubbs' test and (4) the Langford & Lewis method

Power of tests with $\gamma_1 = 6$ et $\gamma_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(6, 1)$



Figure: Power of (1) Dixon's Q test and (2) the Langford & Lewis method

Power of tests with $\gamma_1 = 3$ et $\gamma_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(3, 1)$



Figure: Power of (1) Dixon's Q test and (2) the Langford & Lewis method

Dixon's Q Test: Detecting the correct center

	n = 10	n = 20	n = 50
$_1 = 3$	0.875	0.921	0.929
$_1 = 4$	0.993	0.996	0.998
$_1 = 6$	1.000	1.000	1.000
$_1$ N (3; 1)	0.956	0.964	0.966
$_1$ N (4; 1)	0.991	0.994	0.987
$_1$ N (6; 1)	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table: Proportion of the correct center detected by Dixon's Q test when $p < 0:05$ and $m = 30$ centers